

ADONIS NP: MODELING OF GOVERNANCE ISSUES

02.09.2022, Neu-Isenburg

TARGET IMAGE

VISION

"We manage life insurance portfolios more efficiently, more progressive and more value-driven than any other."

EXCELLENCE IN ACQUISITION AND INTEGRATION

- Growth through specialisation in the acquisition and integration of companies or portfolios

 organisationally and technically
- Excellent M&A skills

EXCELLENCE IN CAPITAL MANAGEMENT



- Ensure strong capitalization and access to adequate funding for all companies
- Sustainably good solvency ratios
- Powerful solutions for investment and balance sheet structures

EXCELLENCE IN INVENTORY MANAGEMENT



- Ensure low cancellation rates
- Ensuring stable customer relationships by fulfilling all contractual obligations and providing attractive services at reasonable costs
- Basis: modern, scalable IT platform and structures

VIRIDIUM AT A GLANCE

CORE FIGURES	 Almost 3.8 million contracts managed across the Group ¹ Assets under management of more than 70 billion euros ² Group solvency at about 231% ³ Average cancellation rate of the companies 2.3%. ⁴ 	
PORTFOLIO COMPANIES	 Proxalto Lebensversicherung AG Heidelberger Lebensversicherung AG Skandia Lebensversicherung AG Entis Lebensversicherung AG 	
LOCATIONS	 Neu-Isenburg (Corporate Center) Hamburg, Munich (Service Center), Heidelberg (TPA) Around 900 employees group-wide ⁵ 	
OWNER	 ▼ Cinven ▼ Generali ▼ Hanover Re 	

As at 31.12.2021

(1) Number, for own account, i.e. self-managed + underwritten syndicated business, excluding lead syndicated business

(2) Book value, total of traditional and unit-linked investments, consolidated

(3) Standard formula, without transitional measures, with volatility adjustments

(4) Number / units, annualized

(5) Excl. freelancers & paid leave other than maternity leave, including temporary workers, long-term sick, works councils and trainees. For individual life companies, the number of the corresponding service company applies, HLE incl. LVB, further employees employed in VKG and VSM.

MODELING



Behavioural/ structural analogy

- Model truth?
- Objects?
- Relationships?

Degree of abstraction

• Purpose and addressee?

Language

- Structure and content
- Modeling language
- Natural language

- The quality of the logical model limits (for better or worse) the technical model and the quality of all the resulting possibilities.
- ▼ Deep corrections to existing and content-filled models are difficult.

INTEGRATION OF MODELS 1



Non-redundancy

Single source of truth

Primacy of the logical model

- Common understanding
- Overarching set of rules

Technical model

- Level of knowledge of those responsible for the model / system
- Interfaces
- Complexity

- ▼ Integration of models: Multiple use of models and their contents
- ▼ No free lunch: High content and technical complexity of the "overall model".
- Consistent reporting?

INTEGRATION OF MODELS 2 / EVALUATION





- Rest API
- ▼ Reporting through specialized reporting systems, "all the data all the time".



MODELING GOVERNANCE ISSUES



- Which requirement can be mapped with which object types or which data fields and referenced with what?
- Can information from other systems / models be used?
- ▼ Especially be careful with: n:m relations and redundancies
- Many possibilities, but also many error possibilities

GOVERNANCE: OUTSOURCING / SPIN-OFF



- Premises: Service provider created as object in Adonis, each service provider has at least one referenced risk object, "risk n:1 service provider".
- ▼ Spin-off risk analysis: "*Risks of the spin-off category*
- Outsourcing officers: "Service provider officers who are referenced to a service provider to which a risk of the outsourcing category is attached".
- ▼ Service provider as object in Adonis: Advantages with BCM / BIA!
- ▼ Spin-off property directly at the service provider? no, because...
- ▼ Why no object type "service" (referenced to service provider)? no, because.....

GOVERNANCE: SOLVENCY II



- Clarification of appropriateness of Solvency II standard formula with regard to op-risk capital:
 - ▼ Three-point estimator on material risks provides part of the basis for calculation

GOVERNANCE: MARISK / MAGO KEY-CONTROL



- ▼ Do not maintain the "Key control" feature in the system:
 - ▼ Consider all controls at significant risks as key controls
 - If the risk assessment is changed, the status "key y/n" changes implicitly; the argumentation is consistent and justified on the basis of the risk description and assessment (risk-based approach).

GOVERNANCE: INFORMATION SECURITY / BCM



- SSoT for system, process, service provider: Transfer via Rest-API, because the objects in the ISMS are actually addressed as referencable objects.
 - Select a sensible update cycle for object adjustment!
- Evaluation via Analytics

MODEL VS. MODELLER



Increasing demands on models

- Complexity
- Scope
- Speed
- Validity

Increasing complexity of tools

- System integration
- Interfaces
- Functionalities

Increasing demands on employee competence

- Professional
- Logical
- Technical
- ▼ The added value of the systems and their integration is only "potential".
- ▼ Strongly increasing intellectual and IT-technical demands on employees

MODERATED VS. DECENTRALIZED



'IRIDIUM

Faculty

- Expertise
- Overloaded with different applications, constant questions about the tool

Modeller

- Knowledge of methods
 - Moderation
 - Modeling
 - Governance

- Modeling decentralized by department: modeling quality (BPMN2...)?, governance know-how? Motivation? Time expenditure (coordination loops)?
- Moderated modelling: department can concentrate on technical issues, no "unnecessary" knowledge required, immediate adaptation "in front of both eyes" = better identification, etc.

CONTACT



Marc Börder-Burmester

- Senior Specialist Operation Risk and BCM
- marc.boerder-burmester@viridium-gruppe.com
- 0173 655 6495

